

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

JOHN H. RAFTERY

ON BEHALF OF

DOMINION ENERGY SOUTH CAROLINA, INC.

DOCKET NO. 2019-239-E

Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS, AND POSITION.

A. My name is John H. Raftery, and my business address is 220 Operation Way, Cayce, South Carolina. I am the Director of Rates and Regulatory Affairs for Dominion Energy South Carolina, Inc. (“DESC” or the “Company”).¹

Q. DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND BUSINESS EXPERIENCE.

A. I am a graduate of Northwestern University with a Bachelor of Science degree in Mechanical Engineering. I began my public utilities career in 1994 as an Information Technology Management Consultant with Price Waterhouse and continued with Oracle Corporation in 1998. I joined SCANA Corporation in 2003 as a Client Manager in the Customer Systems Support Organization and gained the responsibilities of the Customer Service Training Department several years later. In 2010, I assumed responsibility for the SCANA Contact Centers and Technology Services, with the addition of SCE&G’s Business Offices in 2013. In 2014, I became General Manager of Renewable Products/Services and

¹ South Carolina Electric & Gas Company (“SCE&G”) changed its name to Dominion Energy South Carolina in April 2019, as a result of the acquisition of SCANA Corporation by Dominion Energy, Inc. For consistency, I use “DESC” to refer to the Company both before and after this name change.

1 Energy Demand Management. In March 2019, I assumed my current role of
2 Director of Rates and Regulatory Affairs of DESC.

3 **Q. WHAT ARE YOUR DUTIES WITH DESC?**

4 A. As Director of Rates and Regulatory Affairs, I am responsible for the
5 design and administration of DESC's electric and natural gas rates and tariffs,
6 including the electric fuel adjustment and gas cost adjustment. In addition, I am
7 responsible for the Company's electric and natural gas cost allocation studies and
8 the regulatory accounting function. As noted previously, in my prior role, I
9 oversaw all aspects of DESC's Demand Side Management ("DSM") programs,
10 including their selection, implementation, and related rate riders.

11 **Q. HAVE YOU EVER TESTIFIED BEFORE THIS COMMISSION?**

12 A. Yes. I have testified before the Public Service Commission of South
13 Carolina (the "Commission") in previous proceedings.

14 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?**

15 A. The purpose of my testimony is to give an overview of the Company's
16 philosophy regarding DSM programs and commitment to the same and provide
17 background and an overview of DESC's Request for Approval of an Expanded
18 Demand Side Management Plan and a Modified Demand Side Management Rate
19 Rider that was filed in this Docket (the "Request").

20 **Q. WHO ARE THE OTHER WITNESSES THAT WILL PROVIDE DIRECT**
21 **TESTIMONY FOR DESC?**

22 A. The other DESC witnesses providing direct testimony are:

- 1 1. Therese Griffin, Manager of Energy Efficiency and Demand
2 Management, DESC. Ms. Griffin will testify on the current DSM
3 Programs, their success, and the proposed suite of DSM programs.
- 4 2. David K. Pickles, Senior Vice President, ICF Resources, LLC. Mr.
5 Pickles will testify concerning the comprehensive review of potential
6 energy efficiency and demand response programs that he and his
7 organization conducted for DESC beginning in June 2018, and
8 completed June 2019.
- 9 3. Allen Rooks, Manager of Electric Pricing and Rate Administration,
10 DESC. Mr. Rooks will testify concerning the Company's proposal to
11 recover its DSM costs through the continuation of the current rate
12 rider, modified to reflect a shorter amortization period, a changed
13 carrying costs rate, and an increased shared savings incentive.

14 **Q. WHAT IS THE COMPANY REQUESTING IN THIS PROCEEDING?**

15 A. In January 2018, the Company informed the Commission it was
16 commissioning a new DSM Potential Study to serve as the basis of an updated
17 DSM portfolio for roll out in Program Year ("PY") 10. *See* Docket No. 2018-42-
18 E, Annual Update on Demand Side Management Programs and Petition to Update
19 Rate Rider ¶¶ 28-30 (Jan. 30, 2018). That study is now complete, and based on it,
20 the Company has filed the application in this proceeding seeking approval of ten
21 DSM programs—seven for the residential market and three for the commercial
22 and industrial market. Eight of these programs are an expansion or modification
23 of the current programs, and two are new programs. No programs currently in

1 effect are being eliminated. The Company is also requesting to maintain in effect
2 the existing cost recovery mechanism, modified to reflect a shorter amortization
3 period, a changed carrying costs rate, and an increased shared savings incentive.

4 **COMMITMENT TO DSM PROGRAMS**

5 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN DESC'S PHILOSOPHY ON DSM PROGRAMS.**

6 A. For the past ten years, DESC has come before this Commission with a
7 comprehensive set of DSM programs aimed at providing realistic opportunities
8 for customers to reduce their energy consumption. These programs have been
9 well-researched, and based on current market data and operating experience,
10 DESC has modified, expanded or ended programs as market data and operating
11 results have dictated.

12 In evaluating proposed programs for the current request, DESC has looked
13 for ways to continue to improve its existing suite of programs, to modify
14 programs to better reach low and moderate income and small business customer
15 groups, and to refocus programs to reflect current realities in the DSM
16 marketplace.

17 **Q. WHAT ROLES HAVE STAKEHOLDER INPUT GROUPS, TRADE**
18 **ALLIES AND CUSTOMER SURVEYS PLAYED IN FORMULATING**
19 **THE PROPOSED PROGRAMS?**

20 A. As Company Witness Griffin explains, since initiating this planning
21 process in 2017, DESC has worked consistently with its stakeholders to gain a
22 range of input and buy-in to its proposed programs. The Commission established
23 the DSM Advisory Group for DESC in 2009, and that group has been consulted at

1 each step of the planning process for these programs. Trade allies have been
2 brought into the discussion to ensure that the proposed programs will be attractive
3 to customers and are practicable from an implementation standpoint. Through
4 evaluation and implementation activities, residential and non-residential
5 customers have been surveyed, and input has been received on program
6 awareness, satisfaction, likelihood to participate, barriers and drivers to energy
7 efficiency. Working with these groups, DESC has taken a collaborative approach
8 to formulating a proposed suite of programs that will increase DESC's financial
9 commitment to energy efficiency measures by nearly double. DESC hopes that
10 through these actions, its commitment to DSM programs is evident.

11 **Q. HAS THE COMPANY INVESTED IN DSM PROGRAMS SINCE 2010,**
12 **AND WHAT HAS THAT INVESTMENT ACCOMPLISHED?**

13 A. Yes. Since 2010, the Company has invested over \$113 million in DSM
14 programs through PY8. This investment has reduced customers' energy usage by
15 797,000 megawatt hours ("MWh"), which is equivalent to the power needed to
16 supply 67,463 homes for a year. This investment has also avoided the emission of
17 563,384 metric tons of carbon dioxide, the amount that would be emitted by
18 approximately 120,000 automobiles.

19 **Q. HOW HAVE DSM PROGRAMS EVOLVED SINCE 2009?**

20 A. Over the past ten years, federal and state efficiency standards and DESC's
21 DSM programs have captured a great deal of the easily-achieved energy
22 efficiency gains on our system. Under the mandates of the Energy Independence
23 and Security Act of 2007, millions of incandescent light bulbs have been replaced

1 by CFLs, and now LEDs. Federal mandates have greatly increased the efficiency
2 of the heating and cooling units being installed on our system, including air
3 conditioning units and heat pumps. Building codes, construction techniques and
4 home building practices have resulted in increased home energy efficiency and
5 better insulated houses. As a result, the target markets for DSM programs have
6 shifted. Achieving additional savings has become more difficult and more
7 expensive in light of past efficiency gains and current efficiency standards. This
8 shift has required us to reevaluate how to engage and incentivize customers to
9 participate in cost-effective DSM programs going forward.

10 This shift can be seen in the suite of programs proposed here, which focus
11 on increasing the efficiency of existing housing and reaching underserved low and
12 moderate income and small business customer groups. Under these proposed
13 programs, we will work extensively with underserved customer groups through
14 one-on-one programs that provide them with direct install measures and energy
15 consulting. One lead program, the Residential Neighborhood Energy Efficiency
16 program (“NEEP”), has been successful in delivering direct install energy saving
17 measures to low-income households through carefully organized and publicized
18 neighborhood sweeps. The program will be prioritized with significantly
19 increased funding and resources so that more low-income communities can
20 benefit. DESC hopes to expand participation in the program by approximately
21 35% over the next five years.

22 For small business customers, our goal is to increase participation in our
23 direct install program by 75%. This program offers turnkey services tailored to

1 help small business owners that are less likely to have access to trained energy
2 efficiency personnel or consultants. Additionally, new programs to serve
3 customers in multifamily rental units will be started, as well as a new program for
4 municipal lighting customers and a new offering for agricultural customers.

5 At the same time, we will expand our knowledge-based Home Energy
6 Reports program. This program provides customers with information that they
7 can use to help identify, analyze and act upon energy efficiency measures and
8 behaviors. It will be expanded and will focus on those customers with the most
9 potential to reduce their energy usage. This program is anticipated to be very cost
10 effective and has the potential to create significant levels of energy savings.

11 **BACKGROUND OF DSM PROCEEDINGS**

12 **Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY SUMMARIZE THE REGULATORY BACKGROUND**
13 **OF DESC'S CURRENT SUITE OF DSM PROGRAMS.**

14 A. The predecessor to the current suite of DSM programs was adopted in
15 Order No. 2010-472 and originally included a suite of nine residential and two
16 commercial and industrial DSM programs. Order No. 2010-472 also authorized
17 the Company to establish a rate rider to recover DSM costs. The authorization for
18 cost recovery extended for three program years, concluding on November 30,
19 2013.

20 In 2013, as the end of that three-year period approached, the Company
21 filed for approval of a revised suite of eleven DSM programs, including nine
22 residential and two commercial programs. The Commission approved this suite
23 of programs in Order No. 2013-826.

Pursuant to Order Nos. 2010-472 and 2013-826, the Commission authorized DESC to modify, amend, terminate or add measures or programs to its suite of DSM programs from time to time upon notice to the Commission and the Office of Regulatory Staff (“ORS”). The Commission further reserved the right to modify the terms and conditions of the DSM rate rider mechanism and associated matters at any time. However, to provide a measure of stability and predictability to DESC’s DSM offerings, Order No. 2013-826 also precluded parties from filing petitions to modify DSM programs or the DSM rate recovery rider for six years from the date of the order. That six-year term expires on November 26, 2019.

Q. IS THE COMPANY MAKING ANY PROCEDURAL REQUESTS IN THIS DOCKET?

A. Yes. For the reasons explained by Company Witness Griffin, and in the interest of the orderly administration of the DSM rate rider and the timely transition to a new suite of DSM programs, DESC filed the current request before the expiration of the deadline set in Order No. 2013-826. DESC seeks an order allowing the requested changes to go into effect as of December 1, 2019. DESC believes that this request is consistent with its right to modify programs at any time. However, DESC also requests that the Commission waive or modify the timing provisions of Order No. 2013-826 to the extent that any such waiver or modification is required.

OVERVIEW OF REQUEST

Q. HOW DID DESC EVALUATE ITS PROPOSED SUITE OF PROGRAMS FOR THIS REQUEST?

1 A. DESC, through independent third-party consultants, conducted a
 2 comprehensive potential study and DSM program analysis. The resulting report
 3 completed by ICF International, Inc. (“ICF”) (the “Potential Study”) was attached
 4 as Exhibit 1 to the Request. ICF is the same consulting firm that conducted the
 5 potential study in 2009 and the comprehensive report and DSM portfolio plan in
 6 2013. DESC has accumulated a great deal of experience with DSM in its service
 7 territory. This study is primarily grounded in data based on actual program
 8 experience and results achieved within our service territory. Thus, the Potential
 9 Study is closely aligned with DESC’s experience, service territory and customer
 10 base.

11 **Q. DID THE POTENTIAL STUDY EVALUATE BOTH DSM AND DEMAND**
 12 **RESPONSE (“DR”) PROGRAMS?**

13 A. Yes, the Potential Study evaluated both DSM and DR programs.

14 **Q. WHAT DSM PROGRAMS ARE BEING PROPOSED?**

15 A. As summarized in the Request and as explained by Company Witness
 16 Griffin, the Company proposes to provide seven residential and three commercial
 17 and industrial DSM programs going forward.

18 These programs are

19 **Residential Programs**

- 20 • Residential Neighborhood Energy Efficiency
- 21 • Residential Multifamily
- 22 • Residential Appliance Recycling
- 23 • Residential Heating & Cooling
- 24 • Residential Home Energy Check-Up
- 25 • Residential Home Energy Reports
- 26 • Residential EnergyWise Savings Store (Online Store)

Commercial and Industrial Programs

- Commercial Small Business Direct Install
- Commercial and Industrial EnergyWise for your Business (including Agricultural)
- Municipal LED Lighting

All of the proposed programs are cost-effective under the Total Resource Cost (“TRC”) test, including the Residential Neighborhood Energy Efficiency program, which, per Order No. 2010-472, is not required to be cost-effective.

Q. ARE DR OFFERINGS HISTORICALLY ADMINISTERED AS DSM PROGRAMS?

A. No, DR offerings have not historically been administered or reported as DSM programs, and the cost of DR rates and credits have not historically been reflected in DSM program costs but have been considered in setting base rates for the retail electric system.

Q. WHAT DR PROGRAMS ARE CURRENTLY OFFERED?

A. DESC currently offers one Conservation and two Time-of-Use (“TOU”) rates to residential customers. DESC also offers industrial and commercial customers an Interruptible Rate Rider, a Standby Generator Program, TOU Rates and, for certain existing industrial and commercial customers, a Real Time Pricing Tariff that is not open to new customers. DESC intends to continue offering the rate-related DR programs provided for in its filed Tariffs.

In Order No. 2018-322(A), issued in May 2018, the Commission required that “SCE&G shall investigate and implement economic demand side management and energy efficiency programs with an emphasis on decreasing the

1 newly developed winter peak.” This investigation was performed as part of the
2 Potential Study.

3 **Q. WAS AN EXPANSION OF DR PROGRAMS IDENTIFIED AS BEING**
4 **COST JUSTIFIED BY THE POTENTIAL STUDY TO DECREASE THE**
5 **WINTER PEAK?**

6 A. No, the Potential Study concluded that expanded DR programs were not
7 cost-effective to decrease winter peak for the five-year planning timeframe that
8 would apply to such programs. As a result, no expansion of DR programs is
9 being proposed at this time.

10 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE RESULTS OF THE POTENTIAL STUDY WITH**
11 **RESPECT TO EXPANDING EXISTING DR PROGRAMS.**

12 A. The Potential Study found that expansion of the existing DR programs
13 was not a feasible means to reduce winter peak. Two factors led to this
14 conclusion. One relates to customer needs and the other to operating parameters.
15 As to customer needs, DR programs for residential and commercial customers
16 will not be cost effective on our system until Advanced Metering Infrastructure
17 (“AMI”) is installed. For that reason, at present, interruptible customers must
18 come from commercial and industrial customers in the Large General Service
19 customer class. The winter peaks occur on our system during the morning hours
20 in periods of extreme cold weather. Industrial and commercial customers
21 typically are not able to interrupt their electric service at that time because heating
22 is important for maintaining reasonable conditions for their employees, their
23 businesses and their processes. Additional standby generation capacity is not

1 practical because the United States Environmental Protection Agency prohibits
2 most standby generators from running more than 500 hours per year. This limits
3 participation in the Standby Generator Program. Company Witness Pickles will
4 sponsor the Potential Study and can testify in more detail concerning its
5 conclusions. But the conclusion of the study was that additional or expanded DR
6 measures to reduce winter peak were not justified at this time.

7 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE RESULTS OF THE POTENTIAL STUDY WITH**
8 **RESPECT TO ADDING NEW COMMERCIAL AND RESIDENTIAL DR**
9 **PROGRAMS BASED ON AMI.**

10 A. As part of the Potential Study, DESC modeled a suite of new direct load
11 control and other measures for residential and commercial customers that would
12 rely on AMI being installed. Within the five-year program planning cycle, none
13 of these new DR programs were found to be cost-effective and thus none were
14 pursued further due to the cost of installing AMI as a DSM program expense.
15 However, the Potential Study showed that a rollout of AMI system-wide outside
16 of the DSM context would support additional expansion of these DR programs.

17 As described in DESC's Petition for an Accounting Order filed in Docket
18 No. 2019-241-EG, DESC has decided to undertake a multi-year program to install
19 AMI for residential and commercial electric customers throughout its system.
20 Planning for AMI equipment procurement and contracting for installation of AMI
21 will take place in the coming months. DESC anticipates phasing the AMI
22 installation over several years, ultimately resulting in the installation of over
23 760,000 electric AMI meters at a cost of approximately \$98 million.

The Potential Study indicated that, with a sufficient saturation of AMI in place, commercial direct water heater control and residential and commercial direct thermostat control measures could be cost effective. But creating program plans for these measures would be premature at this time. Instead, program plans will be assessed as the installation of AMI meters reaches an appropriate level of saturation and can support cost-effective DR programs. Any additional DR program plans will be presented for review in future filings with the Commission.

RATE RIDER REVISIONS

Q. WHAT REVISIONS TO THE RATE RIDER MECHANISM DOES DESC PROPOSE IN ITS REQUEST?

A. As Company Witness Rooks will explain, DESC seeks approval to continue the rate rider approved in Order No. 2010-472 and Order No. 2013-826 with the following changes:

- a) The unrecovered balance of DSM program costs would be amortized for rate recovery over a three-year period, instead of a five-year period;
- b) The carrying costs rate applied to the unrecovered balance of DSM program costs, as approved in Commission Order No. 2015-307, would be changed to the Company's embedded cost of long-term debt; and
- c) The shared savings incentive would be increased to 11.5%, in accordance with S.C. Code Ann. § 58-37-20, to provide the Company with a reasonable financial incentive to continue to implement effective DSM programs.

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE REASONING FOR THE PROPOSED CHANGES.

A. Company Witness Rooks will explain the reasoning for changes (a) and (b) above in more detail. In summary, shortening the recovery period for program

1 expenses results in a better matching of spending and cost recovery. It also
2 reduces the size of unrecovered balances of program costs, which are a regulatory
3 liability on the Company's balance sheet that are subject to carrying costs.

4 The change in carrying cost to the long-term cost of debt is proposed
5 because it more closely matches carrying costs to the Company's actual cost of
6 capital for expenses of this type. Relying solely on long-term debt strikes a
7 middle ground because it does not include the Company's cost of equity, which is
8 a higher cost but equally valid component of long-term financing.

9 S.C. Code Ann. § 58-37-20 requires that DSM programs be structured so
10 that the Company's income "is at least as high as the net income would have been
11 if the energy conservation measures had not been implemented." In the proposed
12 suite of programs, DESC is essentially doubling spending and energy savings
13 from its DSM programs for its customers. A shared savings incentive of 11.5% is
14 consistent with the level of incentive provided to other utilities and provides a
15 modest but important increment to earnings to reflect the heightened level of
16 programming and investment in DSM programs.

17 **Q. OTHER THAN AS DESCRIBED ABOVE, ARE THERE ANY OTHER**
18 **CHANGES TO THE COST RECOVERY MECHANISM BEING**
19 **REQUESTED?**

20 A. No, DESC's requested changes to the cost recovery mechanism are
21 limited to those three items.

22

23

CONCLUSION

Q. WHAT ARE YOU ASKING THE COMMISSION TO DO IN THIS PROCEEDING?

A. The Company respectfully requests that the Commission approve the proposed suite of expanded and modified DSM programs for a five-year term; continue to authorize DESC to modify, expand, amend, terminate or add any measure or program to its suite of DSM programs going forward without the requirement to seek prior Commission approval to do so; reaffirm the DSM Rate Rider mechanism with the requested modifications; approve the described changes to program cost recovery and shared savings incentive; and otherwise reaffirm its approvals as set forth in prior orders, and the mechanism for the ongoing review, updating and approval of the terms of the Company's DSM programs and DSM Rate Rider.

Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

A. Yes, it does.